# **Webpage Critique Questions**

## The Big Picture

- 1) What have you learned from this website that you did not previously know?
- 2) How can you tell who are the creators "talking" to, or writing for?
- 3) Is there an introduction to the website and on each page? Does it make you want to read more? Any suggestions for improvement?

## **Summaries**

- 4) Do the summaries of the myths provide you with enough information to get a complete sense of the myth, or are they incomplete?
- 5) Do the summaries make you want to continue learning more and to read the analysis?

#### Introduction

- 6) How does the introduction draw you in? What is the thesis?
- 7) What claims have the authors made about the myths and their interpretations?
- 8) What suggestions do you have for improving the thesis?
- 9) Does the introduction provide a short but useful map for the rest of the document?
- 10) Do the main points of the document correspond to the expectations provided by the introduction? If not, where is there a disconnect?

### **Body**

- 11) Are topic sentences easy to identify and understand?
- 12) What suggestions do you have about structure and organization?
- 13) How have the authors made sense of the different versions of the myth? How have the authors addressed and/or reconciled similarities and/or differences? What "cultural issues" have been raised and addressed (e.g. gender, ethnicity, religion, etc.)?

## Finding Information/Ease of Reading

- 14) What have the page creators done to make information easy to find?
- 15) What is the most important information on the page(s)?
- 16) If the text is difficult to read, why?

- 17) Why have images been included?
- 18) When was the site last updated? What sources have the creators used?